1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 BRYAN CORNELL, Case No. 2:14-cv-01906-APG-NJK 10 Plaintiff(s), VS. **ORDER** 11 JOHN BONAVENTURA, et al., (Docket No. 47) 12 Defendant(s). 13 Pending before the Court is a proposed discovery plan that was filed by certain Defendants. 14 15 Docket No. 47. The discovery plan is hereby DENIED without prejudice. See Local Rule 26-1(d) (discovery plans must be submitted jointly); see also Docket No. 46 (requiring filing of a "joint" 16 discovery plan). The parties must, no later than May 8, 2015, either show cause in writing why they 17 failed to timely file a proper discovery plan or they must file a proper joint discovery plan. See 18 19 Docket No. 46. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 DATED: May 4, 2015 22 NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge 23 24 25 26 27

28

¹ In addition, as this Court has explained previously to Mr. Pool, Local Rule 26-4 was modified approximately four years ago. See McMillen v. Las Vegas Township Constable's Office, Case No. 2:14cv-780-APG-NJK, Docket No. 43 (July 28, 2014). The proposed discovery plan misstates the deadline outlined in Local Rule 26-4 for seeking extensions. Docket No. 47 at 3. The Court again urges Mr. Pool to become familiar with the Local Rules and to ensure that his filings comport with them.